Supplementary Material

Recovery from COVID-19: a sprint or marathon?

6 month follow-up of long COVID-19 support group members

Anouk W. Vaes, Yvonne M.J. Goërtz, Maarten Van Herck, Felipe V. C. Machado, Roy Meys, Jeannet M. Delbressine, Sarah Houben-Wilke, Swetlana Gaffron, Dieter Maier, Chris Burtin, Rein Posthuma, Nicole P.H. van Loon, Frits M.E. Franssen, Bita Hajian, Sami O. Simons, Job F.M. van Boven, Frederikus A. Klok, Bart Spaetgens, Claire M.H. Pinxt, Limmie Y.L. Liu, Geertjan Wesseling, Yvonne Spies, Herman Vijlbrief, Alex J. van 't Hul, Daisy J.A. Janssen, Martijn A. Spruit

Results

Patients with suspected COVID-19 diagnosis

Seven hundred and sixty-six patients who completed both surveys were presumed to have had COVID-19. They did not have a formal COVID-19 testing at the time of the presumed infection. Patients were mostly middle-aged women with a normal body mass index. The vast majority of the patients reported a moderate to good health status before infection (99.2%) (Supplementary Table 4).

Number of Symptoms

During the COVID-related infection a median of 14 (11-18) symptoms was reported, which was significantly lower 3 and 6 months later: 7 (4-9) and 6 (3-9), respectively (p<0.001, Supplementary Table 3). At all three time points, fatigue was the most prevalent symptom (Supplementary Figure 3).

The proportion of non-symptomatic patients increased between 3 and 6 months follow-up, from 0.5 % to 4.0% (p<0.001). After 6 months, 305 patients (40%) reported 1 to 5 symptoms, 314 patients (41%) reported 6 to 10 symptoms, and 116 patients (15%) reported more than 10 symptoms (Supplementary Figure 4).

Work productivity

The majority of patients (83.1%) reported to have a job before the infection. The mean proportion of work time missed in the previous week due to ill health (absenteeism) and impairment while working (presenteeism) reduced from 61% to 48% and from 65% to 57%, respectively (both p<0.001; Supplementary Table 4). In addition, average work productivity loss reduced from 82% to 74%, resulting in an overall working impairment of 73% and 62% after 3 and 6 months, respectively (both p<0.001; Supplementary Table 4).

Self-reported health, functional status, and quality of life

Pre-infection, 85.2% of the patients had a good self-reported health-status. After 3 months follow-up, only 4.3% of the patients rated their health as 'good', which significantly increased up to 17.0% after 6 months follow-up (p<0.001). Consequently, 83.0% of the patients still reported a moderate-to-poor self-reported health after 6 months (Supplementary Figure 5a).

Self-reported functional status did not improve from 3 to 6 months follow-up, however, the proportion of patients reporting to have currently no limitations in everyday life without infection-related symptoms significantly increased from 0.9 to 5.9% (p<0.001; Supplementary Table 4, Supplementary Figure 5c).

The mean EQ-5D index and the EQ-VAS improved significantly from 0.621 (0.184) to 0.689 (0.171) and 46 (19) to 54 (19) points, respectively (p<0.001; Supplementary Table 4). Compared to age/gender-matched reference values (1), also the proportion of patients who had an EQ-5D index that was below the fifth percentile significantly reduced from 39.4 to 28.2% (p<0.001; Supplementary Table 4). Still, 64% of the patients had moderate to extreme problems with daily activities after 6 months, and 54% of the patients experienced moderate to extreme pain or discomfort (Supplementary Figure 5d).

Received care

The proportion of patients receiving physiotherapy or rehabilitation between 3 to 6 months of follow up was significantly higher compared to the period from the infection to 3 months of follow-up (57.2% versus 24.3% and 4.4 versus 1.3%, respectively, p<0.05; Supplementary Table 4). The dependency on partner or family for personal care significantly decreased from 3 to 6 months follow-up (from 37.7% to 18.4% and from 12.1% to 4.2%, respectively, p<0.05), though the proportion of patients needing help from their partner of family was still significantly higher compared to before the infection (18.4% versus 4.8% and 4.2% versus 1.2%, respectively; p<0.05).

References

1. Grochtdreis T, Dams J, Konig HH, Konnopka A. Health-related quality of life measured with the EQ-5D-5L: estimation of normative index values based on a representative German population sample and value set. Eur J Health Econ. 2019;20(6):933-44.

Supplementary Table 1 Patient characteristics of responders and non-responders to the second survey

	Responders 2 nd survey	Non-responders 2 nd survey
	(n=1005)	(n=551)
Women, n (%)	850 (84.6)	473 (85.8)
Age, years (median, interquartile range)	48.0 (40.0-54.5)	44.0 (37.0 – 52.0)*
BMI, kg/m² (median, interquartile range)	25.1 (22.5-28.7)	25.1 (22.5 – 28.7)
Married/living with partner, n (%)	716 (71.2)	394 (71.5)
Pre-existing comorbidities, n (%)		
None	617 (61.4)	341 (61.9)
1	258 (25.7)	142(25.8)
≥2	130 (12.9)	68 (12.3)
Place of COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment, n (%)		*
Hospitalized, test-based diagnosis	62 (6.2)	18 (3.3)
Non-hospitalized, test-based diagnosis	177 (17.6)	86 (15.6)
Suspected COVID-19	766 (76.2)	447 (81.1)
Time between symptom onset (To) and completion	11.3 (2.2)	11.4 (2.4)
first questionnaire (T1), weeks (mean (SD))		
Health status before infection, n (%)		
Good	861 (85.7)	470 (85.3)
Moderate	135 (13.4)	80 (14.5)
Poor	9 (0.9)	1 (0.2)
Self-reported poor health, %		
Before	0.9	0.2
T1	32.3†	29.8†
Number of symptoms, n (median, interquartile range)		
То	14 (11-18)	14 (10-18)
T1	7 (4-9)†	6 (4-10)†

^{*}p<0.05 vs. responders 2nd round; †p>0.05 vs To

Supplementary Table 2 Patient characteristics of hospitalized and non-hospitalized patients with confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis

Committee Covid-19 diagnosis	Hospitalized patients (n=62)	Non-hospitalized patients (n=177)
Women, n (%)	39 (62.9)	159 (89.8)†
Age, years (median, interquartile range)	53.0 (47.8-60.0)	48.0 (37.5-54.5)†
BMI, kg/m² (median, interquartile range)	28.2 (24.8-32.6)	25.6 (23.0-29.4)†
Married/living with partner, n (%)	43 (69.4)	130 (73.4)
Pre-existing comorbidities, n (%)	15 (- 5- 1)	†
None	28 (45.2)	114 (64.4)
1	23 (37.1)	39 (22.0)
≥2	11 (17.7)	24 (13.6)
Health status before infection, n (%)	(, , ,	
Good	49 (79.0)	159 (89.8)
Moderate	12 (19.4)	16 (9.0)
Poor	1 (1.6)	2 (1.1)
Time between symptom onset (To) and completion questionnaire, weeks (mean (SD))	, ,	
First questionnaire (T1)	11.1 (2.0)	10.1 (2.4)
Second questionnaire (T2)	23.4 (2.0)	22.4 (2.4)
Number of symptoms, n (median, interquartile range)		
To	14 (10-17)	15 (12-18)
T1	6 (4-8)*	6 (4-9)*
T ₂	6 (2-8)*	6 (3-8)*#
Work Productivity and Activity Index		
Percentage of work time missed due to ill health (absenteeism) (mean (SD))		
T ₁	81.5 (29.0)	70.4 (36.5)
T ₂	60.0 (36.9)#	50.0 (38.6)#
Percentage of impairment while working (presenteeism) (mean (SD))		
T1	67.8 (29.0)	65.8 (25.2)
T ₂	59.7 (23.3)#	59.7 (24.3)#
Overall work impairment due to health (work productivity), % (mean (SD))		
T1	93.0 (16.5)	88.2 (20.1)
T ₂	82.9 (26.2)#	77.3 (25.9)#
Activity impairment, % (mean (SD))		
T1	71.8 (22.9)	71.3 (21.3)
T2	57.3 (24.6)#	60.5 (22.1)#
Self-reported poor health, %		
То	1.6	1.1
T1	25.8*	25.4*
T2	8.1*#	11.3*#
Post-COVID-19 Functional Status Scale, Grade (mean (SD))		
T1	2.3 (1.1)	2.4 (0.8)
T2	2.2(1.1)	2.2 (0.9)#
Quality of life		
EQ-5D index (mean (SD))		
T1	0.643 (0.202)	0.646 (0.173)
T2	0.710 (0.180)#	0.688 (0.159)#
EQ-5D index < P5 reference values [23], %		
T1 -	35.5	37.3
T2	24.2#	27.7#
Today's health status (VAS 0-100), points		(6)
T1	49 (21)	49 (18)
T2	57 (20)#	56 (18)#

Received care			
	Physiotherapy, %		
	Between To and T1	48.4	26.0†
	Between T1 and T2	61.3#	62.1#
	Rehabilitation, %		
	Between To and T1	9.7	2.3†
	Between T1 and T2	12.9	11.3#
Need for help with personal care			
	From partner, %		
	Before	6.5	4.5
	Between To and T1	56 . 5*	42.4*
	Between T1 and T2	22.6#	20.9*#
	From family, %		
	Before	1.6	1.7
	Between To and T1	22.6*	15.3*
	Between T1 and T2	9.7*#	6.2*#

†p<0.05 vs. hospitalized patients; *p<0.05 vs. before; #p<0.05 vs. T1; P5=percentile five; VAS=visual analogue scale

Supplementary Table 3 Patient characteristics of patients receiving physiotherapy/rehabilitation and patients not receiving physiotherapy/rehabilitation

	Patients receiving	Patients not receiving
	physiotherapy/rehabilitation	physiotherapy/rehabilitation
	(n=155, 64.9%)	(n=84, 35.1%)
Women, n (%)	133 (85.8)	65 (77.4)
Age, years (median, interquartile range)	50.0 (40.0-56.0)	49.0 (39.0 – 57.8)*
BMI, kg/m² (median, interquartile range)	26.2 (23.7-30.7)	25.6 (23.1 – 29.3)
Married/living with partner, n (%)	113 (72.9)	60 (71.4)
Pre-existing comorbidities, n (%)	J (. J)	
None	93 (60.0)	49 (58.3)
1	38 (24.5)	24 (28.6)
≥2	24 (15.5)	11 (13.1)
Place of COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment, n (%)		*
Hospitalized, test-based diagnosis	41 (26.5)	21 (25.0)
Non-hospitalized, test-based diagnosis	114 (73.5)	63 (75.0)
Health status before infection, n (%)		
Good	131 (84.5)	77 (91.7)
Moderate	21 (13.5)	7 (8.3)
Poor	3 (1.9)	0 (0.0)
Number of symptoms, n (median, interquartile range)		
То	15 (12-18)	14 (10-17)
T ₁	7 (5-9)†	5 (3-8)*†
T ₂	6 (4-9)	4 (2-7)*
Self-reported poor health, %		
Before	1.9	0.0
T ₁	32.3†	13.1*†
T ₂	13.5†	4.8*†
Work Productivity and Activity Index		
Percentage of work time missed due to ill health		
(absenteeism) (mean (SD))		
	82.0 (28.3)	56.2 (40.4)*
T2	64.8 (34.3)†	28.5 (34.4)*†
Percentage of impairment while working		
(presenteeism) (mean (SD))		() No.
T1	75.0 (22.7)	55.2 (25.4)*
T2	66.1 (20.4)†	49.5 (26.0)*
Overall work impairment due to health (work		
productivity), % (mean (SD))	02 5 (44.7)	80.8 (24.5)*
T1 T2	93.5 (14.7) 86.6 (18.9)†	60.8 (24.5)* 62.2 (30.6)*†
Activity impairment, % (mean (SD))	60.0 (16.9 <i>)</i>	02.2 (30.0)
Activity impairment, % (mean (30))	77.0 (17.5)	61.2 (24.9)*
T2	77.0 (17.5) 64.8 (19.7)†	50.1 (25.0)*†
Post-COVID-19 Functional Status Scale	- 1 (-5-77)	Je.: (=J.e/
Grade (mean (SD))		
T1	2.6 (0.7)	2.0 (0.7)*
T2	2.5 (0.8)	1.8 (1.0)*
Quality of life		` /
EQ-5D index (mean (SD))		
T1	0.613 (0.173)	0.706 (0.179)*
T2	0.663 (0.155)†	0.751 (0.168)†*
EQ-5D index < P5 reference values [20], %		
T ₁	41.3	28.6
T2	30.3†	20.2
Today's health status (VAS 0-100), points		

T1 T2	45 (18) 52 (18)†	57 (17)* 65 (17)†*
Need for help with personal care		
From partner, %		
Before	5.2	4.8
Between To and T1	50.3†	38.1†
Between T1 and T2	24.5†#	15.5†#
From family, %		
Before	1.9	1.2
Between To and T1	21.3†	9.5†*
Between T1 and T2	7.7†#	6.0

^{*}p<0.05 vs. patients receiving physiotherapy/rehabilitation; †p>0.05 vs before/during infection; #p<0.05 vs. T1

Supplementary Table 4 Characteristics of patients with suspected COVID-19

Supplementary Table 4 Characteristics of patients with su	N=766
Women, n (%)	652 (85.1)
Age, years (median, interquartile range)	48.0 (40.0-54.0)
BMI, kg/m² (median, interquartile range)	24.7 (22.2-28.1)
Married/living with partner, n (%)	543 (70.9)
Pre-existing comorbidities, n (%)	J 13 (1 - J)
None	475 (62.0)
1	196 (25.6)
≥2	95 (12.4)
Health status before infection, n (%)	
Good	653 (85.2)
Moderate	107 (14.0)
Poor	6 (0.8)
between symptom onset (To) and completion questionnaire, weeks (mean (SD))	
First questionnaire (T1)	11.5 (2.1)
Second questionnaire (T2)	23.8 (2.1)
Number of symptoms, n (median, interquartile range)	
То	14 (11-18)
T ₁	7 (4-9)*
T2	6 (3-9)*†
Work Productivity and Activity Index	
Percentage of work time missed due to ill health	
(absenteeism) (mean SD))	(()
T1 T-	61.4 (38.0)
T2	47.5 (39.8)*
Percentage of impairment while working (presenteeism) (mean (SD)) T1	64.9 (25.3)
T2	64.9 (25.3) 57.3 (26.5)*
Overall work impairment due to health (work productivity), %	5/.3 (20.5)
(mean (SD))	
(incan (35)) T1	82.2 (24.8)
T ₂	74.0 (28.8)*
Activity impairment, % (mean (SD))	, , ,
T1	72.9 (20.9)
T ₂	61.8 (24.0)*
Self-reported poor health, %	·
Before	0.8
T ₁	34 . 5 *
T ₂	15.1*†
Post-COVID-19 Functional Status Scale	
Grade (mean (SD))	, , <u> </u>
T1	2.6 (0.7)
T2	2.6 (0.9)
Quality of life	
EQ-5D index (mean (SD))	- (- : (- : 0 -)
T1	0.621 (0.184)
T2 FO FD index < PF reference values (1) %	0.689 (0.171)*
EQ-5D index < P5 reference values (1), % T1	20.4
T2	39.4 28.2*
Today's health status (VAS 0-100), points	20.2"
Today's Health status (VAS 0-100), points	46 (19)
T2	40 (19)* 54 (19)*
12	ノオ ('ブ/

Received care	
Physiotherapy, %	
Between To and T1	24.3
Between T1 and T2	57.2*
Rehabilitation, %	
Between To and T1	1.3
Between T1 and T2	4.4*
Need for help with personal care	
From partner, %	
Before	4.8
Between To and T1	37.7*
Between T1 and T2	18.4*†
From family, %	
Before	1.2
Between To and T1	12.1*
Between T1 and T2	4.2*†

^{*}p<0.05 vs. before; †p<0.05 vs. T1

Supplementary Figure Legends

Supplementary Figure 1 Study flow chart

Supplementary Figure 2

Number of symptoms during the infection and after 3 months and 6 months of follow-up patients with confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis (n=239)

■ During infection; ■ 3 months after start symptoms; ■ 6 months after start symptoms

Supplementary Figure 3

Prevalence of symptoms during the infection and after 3 months and 6 months of follow-up in patients with suspected COVID-19 (n=766)

Abbreviations: temp.=temperature; BW=body weight; HR=heart rate.
■ During infection; ■ 3 months after start symptoms; ■ 6 months after start symptoms

Supplementary Figure 4

Number of symptoms during the infection and after 3 months and 6 months of follow-up in patients with suspected COVID-19 (n=766)

■ During infection; ■ 3 months after start symptoms; ■ 6 months after start symptoms

Supplementary Figure 5

Self-reported health, Functional Status, and Quality of life in patients with suspected COVID-19 (n=766)

a. Self-reported health status before the infection and after 3 months and 6 months of follow-up in patients with suspected COVID-19 (n=766)

The width of lines is proportional to the flow rate.

■ Good; ■ Moderate; ■ Poor

■ 3.4% of the patients: $good \rightarrow good$; ■ 0.7% of the patients: $good \rightarrow good$ → moderate; ■ 10.8% of the patients: $good \rightarrow moderate \rightarrow good$; ■ 39.9% of the patients: $good \rightarrow moderate \rightarrow moderate$; ■ 2.9% of the patients: $good \rightarrow moderate$

moderate → poor; ■ 2.0% of the patients: good → poor → good; ■ 17.2% of the patients: good → poor → moderate; ■ 8.4% of the patients: good → poor → poor; ■ 0.1% of the patients: moderate → good → good; ■ 0.1% of the patients: moderate → good → moderate; ■ 0.5% of the patients: moderate → moderate → good; ■ 5.9% of the patients: moderate → moderate → moderate; ■ 0.9% of the patients: moderate → moderate → poor; ■ 0.1% of the patients: moderate → poor → good; ■ 3.7% of the patients: moderate → poor → moderate → poor → moderate → poor → moderate; ■ 0.3% of the patients: moderate → moderate; ■ 0.1% of the patients: poor → moderate; ■ 0.1% of the patients: poor → moderate; ■ 0.4% of the patients: poor → poor →

Post-COVID-19 Functional Status Scale after 3 months and 6 months of follow-up in patients with suspected COVID-19 (n=766)

The width of lines is proportional to the flow rate.

Grade o: I have no limitations in my everyday life and no pain, depression or anxiety related to the infection.

Grade 1: I have negligible limitations in my everyday life as I can perform all usual duties/activities, although I still have persistent symptoms, pain, depression or anxiety.

Grade 2: I suffer from limitations in my everyday life as I occasionally need to avoid or reduce usual duties/activities or need to spread these over time due to symptoms, pain, depression or anxiety. I am, however, able to perform all activities without any assistance.

Grade 3: I suffer from limitations in my everyday life as I am not able to perform all usual duties/activities due to symptoms, pain depression or anxiety. I am, however, able to take care of myself without any assistance.

Grade 4: I suffer from severe limitations in my everyday life: I am not able to take care of myself and therefore I am dependent on nursing care and/or assistance from another person due to symptoms, pain, depression or anxiety.

```
■ Grade 0; ■ Grade 1; ■ Grade 2; ■ Grade 3; ■ Grade 4

0.7% of the patients: Grade 0 → Grade 0; 0.1% of the patients:

Grade 0 → Grade 1; 0.1% of the patients: Grade 0 → Grade 3;

2.2% of the patients: Grade 1 → Grade 0; 3.0% of the patients:

Grade 1 → Grade 1; 1.2% of the patients: Grade 1 → Grade 2; 0.3%

of the patients: Grade 1 → Grade 3; 2.1% of the patients: Grade 2

→ Grade 0; 6.1% of the patients: Grade 2 → Grade 1; 14.4% of the

patients: Grade 2 → Grade 2; 7.0% of the patients: Grade 2 →

Grade 3; 0.1% of the patients: Grade 2 → Grade 4; 0.9% of the

patients: Grade 3 → Grade 0; 3.1% of the patients: Grade 3 →

Grade 1; 15.9% of the patients: Grade 3 → Grade 2; 38.3% of the

patients: Grade 3 → Grade 3; 1.0% of the patients: Grade 3 →

Grade 4; 0.3% of the patients: Grade 4 → Grade 2; 2.2% of the

patients: Grade 4 → Grade 3; 0.9% of the patients: Grade 4 →

Grade 4
```

c. Problems on EQ-5D-5L domains after 3 months and 6 months of follow-up in patients with suspected COVID-19 (n=766)

□ No problems;■ Slight;■ Moderate;■ Severe;■ Extreme/unable